1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JEFFREY A. ERICKSON, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-2595 LKK CMK P 12 VS. 13 JULIO VALADEZ, et al., 14 Respondents. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On October 24, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Petitioner has 22 filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

## 

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 24, 2006, are adopted in full;
3	and
4	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.
5	DATED: March 27, 2007.
6	
7	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
8	SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	